

Comment on: “Trace anomaly of dilaton coupled scalars in two dimensions”

W. Kummer*, H. Liebl† and D.V. Vassilevich‡

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstr. 8–10, A-1040 Wien, Austria

The trace anomaly for nonminimally coupled scalars in spherically reduced gravity obtained by Bousso and Hawking [1] is incorrect. We explain the reasons for the deviations from our correct (published) result which is supported by several other recent papers.

04.06.Kz, 04.62.+v, 04.70.Dy, 11.25.Hf

The computation of the flux of Hawking radiation from the trace anomaly has a long history [2]. For the case of gravity, spherically reduced to 1+1 dimensions, somewhat surprisingly, up to very recent times only calculations existed which were based on minimal coupling to (massless) scalar fields. However, spherical reduction for the matter interaction leads to an additional factor related to the dilaton field. Besides, also the integration measure for the scalars in the path integral is to be modified by the same factor.

This problem is closely related to our recent work on minimally coupled scalars in 2d Hawking radiation [3] for a very general class of 2d models with one horizon and various global properties of the geometric background [4]. Therefore in [5] we treated the most general case of the gravity models of ref. [4], even allowing for a measure for a scalar field with arbitrary dependence on the dilaton field. As pointed out by us already in [5], the trace anomaly for the special case of spherically reduced gravity differs from the — at that time unpublished — result of Bousso and Hawking [1].

First of all the authors of [1] use the 2D path integral measure for scalar fields rather than the spherically reduced 4D diffeomorphism invariant measure. Let the reduced action read

$$S = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} f A f \quad (1)$$

where g is determined from the 2D metric obtained by spherical symmetric reduction of the 4D line element

$$ds^2 = g^{\mu\nu} dx_\mu dx_\nu + e^{-2\phi} d\Omega^2 \quad (2)$$

The normalization condition for eigenmodes is

$$\langle f_\lambda f_{\lambda'} \rangle = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} f_\lambda f_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \quad (3)$$

Substituting the s -wave modes ($f_\lambda f_{\lambda'}$) one obtains

$$\langle f_\lambda f_{\lambda'} \rangle \propto \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\phi} f_\lambda f_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \quad (4)$$

Therefore in the standard ζ -function or heat kernel methods one must first introduce new fields $\tilde{f} = e^{-\phi} f$ obeying the familiar 2D normalization condition

$$\langle \tilde{f}_\lambda \tilde{f}_{\lambda'} \rangle = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \tilde{f}_\lambda \tilde{f}_{\lambda'} = \delta_{\lambda, \lambda'} \quad (5)$$

This is equivalent to using the ζ -function of $\tilde{A} = e^\phi A e^{-\phi}$ in the definition of the effective action and stress energy tensor. This correct path integral measure was used in [6] thus leading to results coinciding with ours. Bousso and Hawking use ζ_A instead of $\zeta_{\tilde{A}}$. Hence their results are not related to spherically reduced 4D quantum matter.

Also the method used by the authors of [1] to calculate the anomaly for a given operator is ambiguous. The simplest way to define the trace of the stress energy tensor is to use behavior of the effective action W under infinitesimal transformations $\delta g_{\mu\nu} = \delta k(x) g_{\mu\nu}$ leading to

$$\delta W = \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \delta g^{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d^2x \sqrt{g} \delta k(x) T_\mu^\mu(x) \quad (6)$$

However, if one applies only a *global* scale transformation instead – as Bousso and Hawking do – one can only obtain an integral of T_μ^μ . This obviously is not enough to fix T_μ^μ . Bousso and Hawking correctly admit an ambiguity in their approach which, however goes even beyond total derivatives. Here it must be stressed that such an ambiguity is only a weakness of their technique [1] – it does *not* reflect any physical ambiguity. As soon as the action and the path integral measure are fixed T_μ^μ is determined uniquely by a *local* $\delta k(x)$ at least if the same (zeta-) regularization is employed. The correct result for spherically reduced gravity is

$$T_\mu^\mu = \frac{1}{24\pi} (R - 6(\nabla\phi)^2 + 6\Box\phi) \quad (7)$$

whereas in [1] the last term in (7) reads $-2\Box\phi$. In the published version of [1] we also now noticed a reference to the work of Chiba and Siino [7] which we had not been aware of before. Also that reference already contains the correct trace anomaly just as the even earlier work of

*e-mail: wkummer@tph.tuwien.ac.at

†e-mail: Liebl@tph16.tuwien.ac.at

‡Permanent address: Department of Theoretical Physics, St. Petersburg University, 198904 St. Petersburg, Russia. e-mail: vassilev@snoopy.phys.spbu.ru

Mukhanov et al. [6]. Searching now the literature on this subject for the period after [1] had been put into the electronic archives, we realized the existence of several new papers [9,7] which all support our calculation. Therefore it is difficult to understand why the mistake in [1] had not been corrected by the authors themselves, and why the same incorrect expression even had been used again in a later paper [10] of the same authors.

We regret very much that several attempts to settle this issue by correspondence did not lead to a correction of [1] in the final printed version. These authors also still do not refer to our paper [5] although it had even appeared in print in the meantime. Equally regrettable is the consequence that recent work by other authors almost exclusively refers to the incorrect result of [1] and not to the correct one of [5,9,6–8] among which our treatment is the most general one.

Acknowledgement

We thank Andrei Zelnikov for drawing our attention to ref. [6]. This work has been supported by Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung (FWF) Project No. P 10221-PHY. One of the authors (D.V.) thanks the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research, grant 97-01-01186, for financial support.

-
- [1] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7788 (1997).
 - [2] S. M. Christensen and S. A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2088 (1977).
 - [3] H. Liebl, D. V. Vassilevich and S. Alexandrov, Class. Quantum Grav. **14**, 889 (1997).
 - [4] M.O. Katanaev, W. Kummer and H. Liebl, Nucl. Phys. B **486**, 353 (1997).
 - [5] W. Kummer, H. Liebl and D. V. Vassilevich, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12**, 2683 (1997).
 - [6] V. Mukhanov, A. Wipf and A. Zelnikov, Phys. Lett. B **332**, 283 (1994).
 - [7] T. Chiba and M. Siino, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **12**, 709, (1997).
 - [8] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A12**, 2083 (1997), S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, *Trace anomaly induced effective action for 2D and 4D dilaton coupled scalars*, **hep-th/9706143**.
 - [9] S. Ichinose, *Weyl Anomaly of 2D Dilaton-Scalar Gravity and Hermiticity of System Operator*, **hep-th/9707025** (version 4)
 - [10] R. Bousso and S. W. Hawking, *(Anti)Evaporation of Schwarzschild-De Sitter Black Holes.*, **hep-th/9709224**.